



Australian Government



AUSTRALIAN INSTITUTE
OF MARINE SCIENCE

Review of entrained oil thresholds

A Negri, D Brinkman, and R Jones



A document prepared for Santos

Review of entrained oil thresholds, May 2025

AIMS: Australia's tropical marine research agency.

www.aims.gov.au

Australian Institute of Marine Science

PMB No 3 PO Box 41775 Indian Ocean Marine Research Centre
Townsville MC QLD 4810 Casuarina NT 0811 University of Western Australia, M096
Crawley WA 6009

This report should be cited as:

A Negri, D Brinkman and R Jones. Review of entrained oil thresholds, May 2025. Report prepared for Santos. Australian Institute of Marine Science (8 pp).

© Copyright: Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) 2025

All rights are reserved, and no part of this document may be reproduced, stored or copied in any form or by any means whatsoever except with the prior written permission of AIMS.

DISCLAIMER

While reasonable efforts have been made to ensure that the contents of this document are factually correct, AIMS does not make any representation or give any warranty regarding the accuracy, completeness, currency or suitability for any particular purpose of the information or statements contained in this document. To the extent permitted by law AIMS shall not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense that may be occasioned directly or indirectly through the use of or reliance on the contents of this document.

Project Leader shall ensure that documents have been fully checked and approved prior to submittal to client				
Revision History:		Name	Date	Comments
A	Prepared by:	Andrew Negri, Diane Brinkman, Ross Jones	18-Jul-2024	
	Approved by:	Claire Streten	19-Jul-2024	
B	Prepared by:	Andrew Negri, Diane Brinkman	29- May-2025	
	Approved by:	Claire Streten	29-May-2025	

CONTENTS

1	Summary	1
2	Review	2
2.1	Introduction of the technical note.....	2
2.2	Considerations for developing thresholds for entrained oil.....	3
2.3	Recommendations for thresholds	4
2.3.1	PAH-based thresholds for dissolved hydrocarbons.....	4
2.3.2	THC-based threshold for entrained oil	5
2.3.3	Consideration of duration of exposure for developing thresholds	6
2.4	Conclusion.....	6
2.4.1	Recommended Entrained Oil thresholds.....	6
2.4.2	Considerations regarding defining EMBA.....	7
3	References	7

Abbreviation and expanded definition table

AIMS	Australian Institute of Marine Science
CROSERF	Chemical Response to Oil Spills: Ecological Effects Research Forum
EMBA	Environment that May Be Affected
HC5	Hazardous concentration at which 5% of species in a community are affected
MAH	Monocyclic aromatic hydrocarbon
NOPSEMA	National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority
PAH	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, when dissolved the most toxic components of oil
ppb	Parts per billion, equivalent to µg/L
ppm	Parts per million, equivalent to mg/L
SIMAP	An integrated oil spill impact model system https://asascience.com/software/simap/
THC	Total hydrocarbons, which represents the true concentration of oil droplets, measured on a mass basis as some components cannot be quantified by other analytical methods.
Threshold	The term “threshold” is used by French-McCay (2025), while “exposure values” is used by NOPSEMA (2019) (Table 1). These are assumed to have a similar meaning and “threshold” is used in this review. This review focusses on entrained oil thresholds in the context of oil spills, not other discharges (e.g., produced formation water).
TPH	Total petroleum hydrocarbons, which represent the hydrocarbon fraction that can be measured/quantified.
TRH	Total recoverable hydrocarbons; synonymous to TPH
Units of measurement for entrained oil	NOPSEMA (NOPSEMA, 2019) described the threshold for entrained oil in ppb (µg/L), but parameter (PAH, TAH, THC) was not specified. French-McCay (2025) used the parameter total hydrocarbons (THC) in ppb. THC represents the true concentration of all oil components, including those that are difficult to measure and is not usually reported in toxicity testing for the development of toxicity thresholds. Importantly, THC is the parameter for oil concentration estimated by spill models such as SIMAP. French-McCay (2025) assumes the NOPSEMA (NOPSEMA, 2019) ppb are in THC.

1 SUMMARY

This is an independent review of the technical note *Considerations for development of entrained oil thresholds for oil spill risk assessments* by French-McCay (2025). This review focusses on evaluating the basis upon which French-McCay (2025) assessed the 10 ppb entrained oil threshold example provided by the National Offshore Petroleum Safety and Environmental Management Authority (NOPSEMA, 2019).

French-McCay (2025) brings together multiple lines of evidence to derive generic thresholds for entrained oil of 1000 ppb total hydrocarbons (THC) for distillates and condensate and 3000 ppb THC for crude oil. French-McCay (2025) included the following logic to derive the thresholds:

- Entrained oil thresholds should be presented in THC to be consistent with the units of concentration used in oil spill modelling.
- The soluble toxic fraction of oil droplets represents a low proportion of the THC, is largely not bioavailable and is rapidly depleted during weathering.
- Toxicity studies do not generate data for deriving thresholds in THC, because THC is difficult to quantify and typically not measured. Therefore, entrained oil thresholds in THC should be developed by converting toxicity values of the soluble toxic fraction (PAHs and TRH) based on its proportion of whole oil.
- Reliable toxicity thresholds expressed in PAHs and TRH from the literature were converted to THC HC5 values (protecting of 95% of species from entrained oil) and represent the French-McCay (2025) recommended thresholds for entrained oil.

The thresholds derived by French-McCay (2025) could be considered conservative when applied in oil spill risk assessments as:-

- Exposures in offshore and open coastal waters are short (typically hours) but the thresholds were derived from longer exposure tests (typically ≥ 48 h). Since uptake of the toxic hydrocarbon fraction is time dependent, the proposed thresholds overestimate risk.
- Entrained oil droplets become depleted of their soluble fraction by weathering, no longer being a source of dissolved hydrocarbons. There is currently little evidence of harm from entrained droplets, especially highly weathered droplets, and they could be considered not acutely toxic.

Oil toxicity is highly dependent on oil composition (which changes with weathering) and exposure duration. Ideally thresholds, focussed primarily on dissolved hydrocarbons, would address both issues (French-McCay et al., 2023; Parkerton et al., 2023b). More broadly, French-McCay (2025) recommended that risk assessments should primarily address dissolved hydrocarbon exposures (as well as floating and shoreline oil) rather than entrained oil, referring to the most recent guidance published in a special issue in *Aquatic Toxicology* (Tjeerdema, 2023). In the meantime, generic thresholds for entrained oil are deemed necessary for oil spill risk assessments and defining the Environment that May Be Affected (EMBA). We found the French-McCay (2025) technical note to be logical, factual and support the suggested approach to generate generic conservative effect thresholds for entrained oil. The French-McCay (2025) threshold for entrained oil of 1000 ppb THC is 100-fold higher than the NOPSEMA (2019) threshold of 10 ppb for defining the EMBA; assuming the same units. We agree that the entrained oil threshold should be expressed in THC. We consider 1000 ppb THC to be a conservative threshold for defining an EMBA by entrained oil, particularly given short exposure

durations in offshore and open coastal waters and the reduction in oil droplet toxicity through weathering.

2 REVIEW

The purpose of the technical note French-McCay (2025) was to ‘identify appropriate thresholds for use in oil spill risk assessments. The focus is on developing a THC threshold appropriate for modelled entrained oil droplets.’

French-McCay (2025) drew upon extensive relevant experience including the early development of oil toxicity and spill modelling (French-McCay, 2002), which underpins SIMAP, widely applied in oil spill risk assessments in Australian waters and internationally. She also developed and adapted generic toxicity thresholds for oil spill risk assessments (French-McCay, 2016; French-McCay et al., 2018). French-McCay was one of several global experts invited to participate in an AIMS initiated workshop on oil toxicity modelling in 2020 (AIMS, 2020). More recently, French-McCay was a primary contributor to updating the CROSERF recommended methods for application oil spill risk assessments, published in a special issue of *Aquatic Toxicology* (Dettman et al., 2023; French-McCay et al., 2023; Parkerton et al., 2023b; Stubblefield et al., 2023).

The following section outlines the background and approach taken by French-McCay (2025), along with our assessments of each part where applicable.

2.1 Introduction of the technical note

In the Introduction of the technical note, French-McCay (2025) provided a brief background to oil spill risk assessments and introduced potential issues with the entrained oil threshold examples provided by NOPSEMA (2019) (Table 1). The objectives of oil spill risk assessment were stated: ‘to evaluate whether aquatic habitats and organisms could be exposed to oil or its constituents at concentrations above levels of concern at some time after a spill’. The EMBA as applied by NOPSEMA was described: ‘...where more analysis is to be performed to determine if impacts could occur or have occurred (i.e., if there is a spill) to some resources and their uses’. The most conservative threshold examples provided by NOPSEMA (2019) for different pathways were listed, including for dissolved oil compounds (10 ppb) and entrained oil (10 ppb), however the parameter(s) was not explicitly specified by NOPSEMA as THC or PAHs. The NOPSEMA (2019) dissolved hydrocarbon threshold of 10 ppb was assessed by French-McCay (2025) as being consistent with previous publications. However, the NOPSEMA (2019) entrained oil threshold of 10 ppb was assessed as being inconsistent with the research cited (French-McCay, 2016; French-McCay et al., 2018). For example, French-McCay (2016) recommended that entrained oil thresholds should be 100× greater than dissolved oil thresholds since the toxic fraction (primarily soluble aromatics) make up only a small fraction (~1%) of oil in droplets. This assessment was further supported by a statement that PAHs only make up between 1% and 7% of the composition of crude oils (these values were not referenced, but are consistent with those published in Neff et al. (2005)). Finally, it was recommended that thresholds for risk evaluations and establishing the EMBA should apply entrained oil thresholds that address ‘the complexities of oil composition, weathering, partitioning in the environment, and organisms’ behaviours’ (French-McCay, 2025).

Table 1. Examples of commonly used water column exposure values used in the past for oil spill modelling along with a basic description of their use. Copied from NOPSEMA (2019).

HC type	Exposure type	Exposure value* (ppb)	Description	Appearance
Entrained	Low	10	Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers	No visible oil
	High	100	As appropriate given oil characteristics for informing risk evaluation	
Dissolved	Low	10	Establishes planning area for scientific monitoring based on potential for exceedance of water quality triggers	
	Medium	50	Approximates potential toxic effects, particularly sublethal effects to sensitive species	
	High	400	Approximates toxic effects including lethal effects to sensitive species	

References: Bridges et al. (2018); French-McCay (2016); French-McCay et al. (2018)

* These refer to instantaneous concentrations, where instantaneous would generally be determined by the model time step. Contemporary models used to inform risk assessments generally utilise a 1 hr time-step.

2.2 Considerations for developing thresholds for entrained oil

The second section of the Technical Note, French-McCay (2025) briefly outlined multiple considerations for developing thresholds for entrained oil for risk assessments and defining the EMBA, including:

- **Bioavailability:** Dissolved hydrocarbons are the most toxic component of oils to aquatic organisms and there is little evidence to date that demonstrates direct impacts of entrained droplets (citing Parkerton et al. (2023a) and Carls et al. (2008)). Only a fraction of the hydrocarbons in oil droplets are soluble and bioavailable. Of the components which are soluble, a substantial fraction of the lightest hydrocarbons (i.e. MAHs and soluble alkanes) are rapidly lost to volatilisation, further limiting exposure (except for light oils discharged at depth).
- **Oil composition affects toxicity:** Oil composition is complex and changes over the duration of a spill (i.e., with weathering). The toxicity of individual components of soluble hydrocarbons is inversely related to water solubility and typical ranges of lethal concentrations affecting 50% of exposed organisms (LC50s) for PAHs were provided and vary by orders of magnitude (10 ppb–100 ppm). It was suggested the evaluation of toxicity of complex oil mixtures should ideally be modelled by applying Toxicity Units (TUs), where 1 TU = LC50 (French-McCay et al., 2023; Parkerton et al., 2023b).
- **Weathering:** Weathering (a complex process of volatilization and dissolution losses, and biodegradation) changes oil composition and therefore its bioavailability and toxicity. Several appropriate studies were cited to support this. Entrained oil droplets are an important source of soluble and semi-soluble hydrocarbons and related heterocyclic compounds; however, as oil weathers, these compounds are depleted, and the oil becomes less toxic. Highly weathered oil was described as ‘not acutely toxic in the water column’. This highlights a key reason to avoid applying toxicity thresholds for dissolved oils to entrained oil, particularly when weathered.
- **Duration of exposure (uptake):** The LC50 values used to derive toxicity thresholds are generally derived from relative long exposures of 48 to 96 hours. LC50 values for shorter exposures are higher as it takes time for dissolved hydrocarbons to accumulate in organisms. This means LC50s

from laboratory studies (48 to 96 h) overestimate effects if used to assess risk over shorter duration field exposures.

- **Duration of exposure (oil dynamics):** Modelling and monitoring show that oil exposures at concentrations which may be harmful to aquatic species are generally short ('minutes to hours'), even for long-lasting spills ('weeks or months'), due to varying oil movements in the water, dilution and weathering processes (French-McCay, 2025). It was also argued that this was true for coastal embayments, where, instead of accumulating, entrained oil must surface, reach shore, or settle into sediments—processes modelled by SIMAP.
- **Duration of exposure (organism behaviour):** Exposure depends on factors such as organism habitat (e.g., location, depth) and movement (mobile, stationary).
- **Problems with measuring oil concentrations as total hydrocarbons:** Not all hydrocarbons are or can be measured. There are recent recommendations for oil analysis (Bejarano et al., 2023; Dettman et al., 2023). Total recoverable hydrocarbons (TRH) refer to hydrocarbons which can be measured, while total hydrocarbons (THC) refer to the 'true concentration of all hydrocarbons' (French-McCay, 2025). TRH may comprise <30% of THC in heavier oils. Oil dispersal models such as SIMAP express entrained oil as THC. There are few or no LC50s (thresholds) expressed as THC in the literature as this is difficult to measure/estimate in experimental systems.
- **Problems with applying toxicity parameters from laboratory experiments in field applications:** Toxicity studies generate effect thresholds for relatively constant exposures/oil compositions. However, oil exposures are difficult to characterise due to unknown contribution of components that cannot be quantified, volatilisation, degradation, and other experimental artefacts. Toxicity modelling provides a more reliable method to generate toxicity thresholds for complex mixtures in the field (French-McCay et al., 2023; Parkerton et al., 2023b). The toxicity thresholds (i.e. LC50s) developed in tests are numerically lower when expressed as ppb PAHs or ppb TRH as they do not account for all components in entrained oil (THC). Risk to aquatic biota would be overestimated if ppb PAHs or ppb TRH were applied directly in oil spill modelling that applies THC as a concentration metric (i.e., SIMAP).

This second section provided valuable background and context for the development of thresholds for entrained oil.

2.3 Recommendations for thresholds

French-McCay (2025) recommended alternative generic thresholds for entrained oil for application in risk assessments or to define the EMBA. The recommended thresholds were developed from a logic that tied together information on: (1) toxicity of soluble hydrocarbons; (2) the units appropriate for assessing risk of oil droplets (THC); (3) the identification of reliable toxicity test results and converting those results into THC units; and (4) comparison with other thresholds. These lines of evidence were supported by multiple peer-reviewed research articles.

2.3.1 PAH-based thresholds for dissolved hydrocarbons

- French-McCay (2025) summarised experimental evidence that acute lethal concentrations of total PAHs (LC50s) generally range from 10 to 300 ppb. For offshore and open coastal water oil spills, where short-term exposures are expected, an **acute threshold of 10 ppb total PAH was recommended**. This is consistent with NOPSEMA (2019).

- An acute-to-chronic ratio of 10 was recommended as being conservatively appropriate to convert an acute toxicity threshold to a chronic sub-lethal effects threshold. **The potential no effect concentration (PNEC) would be 1 ppb total PAH** (‘where exposure durations are sufficiently long for such effects to occur’).
- The effects of UV radiation on oil toxicity were addressed, including the phototoxicity where UV increases toxicity. **An acute toxicity threshold that accounts for phototoxicity was proposed as 1 ppb total PAH.**

We previously assessed the generic PAH-based thresholds for dissolved hydrocarbons of 10 ppb against experimental and modelled toxicity values for two fresh and weathered condensates and concluded this threshold was protective of >95% of species in both our experiments and target lipid model database (Negri et al., 2021). The additional factors applied to account for chronic and UV radiation ratios are also appropriate, based on the referenced literature and other laboratory studies.

2.3.2 THC-based threshold for entrained oil

- **Units should be expressed in THC:** French-McCay (2025) points out that for a valid risk assessment, the threshold units for entrained droplet thresholds need to match the units for oil concentrations predicted in spill modelling SIMAP – namely THC.
- **THC can be inferred from TRH:** Toxicity data informs the development of thresholds; however, there is virtually no toxicity data expressed in THC. Instead, toxicity expressed as THC can be inferred from toxicity expressed as either total PAHs or TRH (the fraction of total oil that can be measured).
- **TRH thresholds for entrained oil:** French-McCay (2025) primarily drew upon the data presented in a publication by Bejarano et al. (2017), in which thresholds for multiple oil types were developed based on total PAH and TRH (termed TPH in their study). This dataset is appropriate for assessing thresholds for entrained oil as it includes toxicity data derived using methods that generate entrained droplets from multiple oils.
- Bejarano et al. (2017) found that the lowest HC5 threshold (concentrations below which 95% of species are protected) among entrained oil types was ~500 ppb TRH (measurable hydrocarbons) for a light fuel oil. HC5 values were greater for medium oils (3000 ppb) compared to other light oils (1000 ppb).
- **Converting TRH to THC thresholds:** French-McCay (2025) considered thresholds developed in other publications such as Smit et al. (2009), which expressed HC5 values in THC; however, the results were not comparable as the THC metric in that study was difficult to define (unclear what fraction it represented), and the toxicity metrics applied were based on more sensitive no observed effect concentrations with exposures ≥ 7 days.
- The ANZECC 2000 guidelines (ANZECC and ARMCANZ, 2000) include a TPH-based sublethal acute threshold of 168 ppb TPH and a sublethal chronic threshold 7 ppb TPH for dissolved hydrocarbons based on an analyses by Tsvetnenko (1998). The suitability of these thresholds for application to entrained droplets was questioned in French-McCay (2025) due to: (1) the soluble fractions assessed were not relatable to field exposures of entrained oil; (2) lowering of the threshold for volatilisation loss rather than increasing the threshold to account for unmeasurable oil component in THC; and (3) an outdated acute-to-chronic ratio of 25 was applied (10 is now recommended). This critique is valid and the Tsvetnenko (1998) thresholds are less reliable than the Bejarano et al. (2017) data for developing entrained oil thresholds.

- An acute exposure concentration of 1000 ppb TPH was reported in three other cited publications as being of low concern for sensitive life stages or for sublethal impacts. For consistency with oil spill modelling units French-McCay (2025) suggested converting these TPH thresholds to 3000 ppb THC (since only ~30% of THC can be measured as TPH).

We have also previously independently recommended that the NOPSEMA (2019) example thresholds for entrained oil are conservative and should be greater than for dissolved hydrocarbons (Negri, 2023). French-McCay (2025) recommended THC thresholds of 1000 ppb for entrained distillates and condensates, and 3000 ppb for entrained crude oil are supported by the above evidence.

2.3.3 Consideration of duration of exposure for developing thresholds

In this section French-McCay (2025) contends that the toxicity values for exposures ≥ 48 h is 'highly conservative' since oil exposures at effect concentrations are typically short (hours, not days) and therefore an acute to chronic ratio correction is not needed for exposures in offshore and open coastal waters. French-McCay (2025) suggests compensating by three possible methods: (1) increasing thresholds further for short duration exposure; (2) averaging modelled THC values over several hours; or (3) applying a dose metric in ppb-hours.

This consideration is valid, as the effect concentrations for short field durations (hours) are far higher than those recorded following in typical 48 h+ experimental exposures. It is likely that the thresholds developed from 48- and 96-h exposure data would be substantially lower than acute thresholds for hour-long exposures expected in the field. The NOPSEMA (2019) example threshold and the French-McCay (2025) recommended thresholds for entrained-oil (both based on acute toxicity data from relatively long exposures) are therefore conservative if applied to assess the risks posed by exposures as short as one hour.

2.4 Conclusion

2.4.1 Recommended Entrained Oil thresholds

Here, French-McCay (2025) concludes that a 10 ppb PAH threshold for dissolved hydrocarbons is suitable for protecting sensitive aquatic biota in offshore and open coastal waters. For entrained droplets, 1000 ppb THC was recommended for all oil and weathering states (including light distillates and condensate), while 3000 ppb THC was recommended for crude oils.

It was also noted that risk assessments should focus more on dissolved hydrocarbon exposure (floating and shoreline oil) rather than entrained oil, referring to the most recent guidance published in a special issue in *Aquatic Toxicology* (Tjeerdema, 2023).

The use of potential effects thresholds to interpret dynamic oil spill model outputs was summarised in this section. Limitations and opportunities of a *tiered* approach (French-McCay et al., 2023) were explained, where the simplest models (Tier 1) do not account for oil composition or exposure duration, while higher tier modelling approaches offer increasing ecological realism by accounting for factors like oil weathering, exposure duration, and species-specific toxicodynamics, but require more data and come with greater uncertainty. The tiered framework was described as providing a balanced and flexible approach, enabling spill responders and risk assessors to tailor assessments based on data availability, urgency, and ecological complexity. Beyond Tier 4, population-level effects modelling was described as requiring more detailed simulations of species- and life-stage-specific toxicokinetics and

impacts on survival, growth, and reproduction, as well as data on species interactions and habitat linkages. French-McCay (2025) adds that ‘The feasibility and uncertainty of these higher tier approaches should be carefully considered when planning assessments.’

These conclusions are well founded in the reasoning and literature outlined in the previous sections.

2.4.2 Considerations regarding defining EMBA

In this final section French-McCay (2025) contends that an entrained oil threshold applied to define the EMBA of 10 ppb THC (Table 1) is too conservative, as it identifies an unnecessarily wide geographical area, where ‘near the outer bounds’, exposures reaching this low threshold would be infrequent and for very short durations. The consequences of this include wasted effort in monitoring and less effective monitoring within an area that might be impacted. Applying a more ‘realistic’ threshold to define the EMBA was therefore proposed.

We agree that the entrained oil threshold should be expressed in THC. We consider 1000 ppb THC to be a conservative threshold for defining an EMBA by entrained oil, particularly given short exposure durations in offshore and open coastal waters and the reduction in oil droplet toxicity through weathering.

3 REFERENCES

- AIMS 2020 Oil toxicity modelling and ecotoxicology – improving uptake by regulators and industry. 2 day workshop held at the Australian Institute of Marine Science (AIMS) Townsville, Queensland, Australia, March 24-25, 2020.
- ANZECC and ARM CANZ 2000. Australian and New Zealand guidelines for fresh and marine water quality. Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council and Agriculture and Resource Management Council of Australia and New Zealand. Available: <https://www.waterquality.gov.au/anz-guidelines/resources/previous-guidelines/anzecc-armcanz-2000>.
- Bejarano, A.C., Adams, J.E., McDowell, J., Parkerton, T.F. and Hanson, M.L. 2023. Recommendations for improving the reporting and communication of aquatic toxicity studies for oil spill planning, response, and environmental assessment. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 255, 106391.
- Bejarano, A.C., Gardiner, W.W., Barron, M.G. and Word, J.Q. 2017. Relative sensitivity of Arctic species to physically and chemically dispersed oil determined from three hydrocarbon measures of aquatic toxicity. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 122(1), 316–322.
- Bridges, K.N., Krasnec, M.O., Magnuson, J.T., Morris, J.M., Gielazyn, M.L., Chavez, J.R. and Roberts, A.P. 2018. Influence of variable ultraviolet radiation and oil exposure duration on survival of red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*) larvae. *Environmental toxicology and chemistry* 37(9), 2372–2379.
- Carls, M.G., Holland, L., Larsen, M., Collier, T.K., Scholz, N.L. and Incardona, J.P. 2008. Fish embryos are damaged by dissolved PAHs, not oil particles. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 88(2), 121–127.
- Dettman, H.D., Wade, T.L., French-McCay, D.P., Bejarano, A.C., Hollebone, B.P., Faksness, L.-G., Mirnaghi, F.S., Yang, Z., Loughery, J. and Pretorius, T. 2023. Recommendations for the advancement of oil-in-water media and source oil characterization in aquatic toxicity test studies. *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 106582.
- French-McCay, D. 2016 Potential effects thresholds for oil spill risk assessments. Proceedings of the 39th AMOP Technical Seminar on Environmental Contamination and Response., pp. 285–303, Environment Canada, Ottawa, Canada.
- French-McCay, D. 2025 Considerations for development of entrained oil thresholds for oil spill risk assessments, Technical Note, April 2025.

- French-McCay, D., Crowley, D., Rowe, J.J., Bock, M., Robinson, H., Wenning, R., Walker, A.H., Joeckel, J., Nedwed, T.J. and Parkerton, T.F. 2018. Comparative risk assessment of spill response options for a deepwater oil well blowout: Part 1. Oil spill modeling. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 133, 1001–1015.
- French-McCay, D.P. 2002. Development and application of an oil toxicity and exposure model, OilToxEx. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 21(10), 2080–2094.
- French-McCay, D.P., Parkerton, T.F. and de Jourdan, B. 2023. Bridging the lab to field divide: Advancing oil spill biological effects models requires revisiting aquatic toxicity testing. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 256.
- Neff, J.M., Stout, S.A. and Gunster, D.G. 2005. Ecological risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sediments: identifying sources and ecological hazard. *Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management: An International Journal* 1(1), 22–33.
- Negri, A.P. 2023 Improving toxicity thresholds for application in risk evaluation and response planning. Presentation at the Australian Offshore Oil Spill Modelling Workshop, December 19, 2023. Perth.
- Negri, A.P., Brinkman, D.L., Flores, F., van Dam, J., Luter, H.M., Thomas, M.C., Fisher, R., Stapp, L.S., Severati, A., Parkerton, T.F. and Jones, R. 2021. Derivation of toxicity thresholds for gas condensate oils protective of tropical species using experimental and modelling approaches. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 172, 112899.
- NOPSEMA 2019 Oil spill modelling. NOPSEMA Bulletin No. 1. April 2019. <https://www.nopsema.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2021-04/A652993.pdf>.
- Parkerton, T., Boufadel, M., Nordtug, T., Mitchelmore, C., Colvin, K., Wetzel, D., Barron, M.G., Bragin, G.E., de Jourdan, B. and Loughery, J. 2023a. Recommendations for advancing media preparation methods used to assess aquatic hazards of oils and spill response agents. *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 106518.
- Parkerton, T.F., French-McCay, D., de Jourdan, B., Lee, K. and Coelho, G. 2023b. Adopting a toxic unit model paradigm in design, analysis and interpretation of oil toxicity testing. *Aquat. Toxicol.* 255, 106392.
- Smit, M.G.D., Bechmann, R.K., Hendriks, A.J., Skadsheim, A., Larsen, B.K., Baussant, T., Bamber, S. and Sanni, S. 2009. Relating biomarkers to whole-organism effects using species sensitivity distributions: A pilot study for marine species exposed to oil. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* 28(5), 1104–1109.
- Stubblefield, W., Barron, M., Bragin, G., DeLorenzo, M., de Jourdan, B., Echols, B., French-McCay, D., Jackman, P., Loughery, J. and Parkerton, T. 2023. Improving the design and conduct of aquatic toxicity studies with oils based on 20 years of CROSERF experience. *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 106579.
- Tjeerdema, R., (Ed.). 2023. Modernizing the Chemical Response to Oil Spills: Ecological Effects Research Forum (CROSERF) Protocol through international collaboration [Special issue]. *Aquat. Toxicol.*
- Tsvetnenko, Y. 1998. Derivation of Australian tropical marine water quality criteria for the protection of aquatic life from adverse effects of petroleum hydrocarbons. *Environ. Toxicol. Water Qual.* 13(4), 273–284.